

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/750,013	12/31/2003	Daren J. Schmidt	42P18015	9320
8791	7590 08/07/2006	•	EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			LEE, CHUN KUAN	
12400 WILS	SHIRE BOULEVARD FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGE	LES, CA 90025-1030		2181	
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notice of Alice Income	10/750,013	SCHMIDT ET A	L.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chun-Kuan (Mike) Lee	2181				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
This application is abandoned in view of:						
(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on						
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.	•					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.						
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	n attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair		e the period for see	eking court review			
7. 🛛 The reason(s) below:						
See Continuation Sheet						
	SUPERVISO	CHZM. FLOW RITZ FLEMING RY PATENT EXAMIP LOGY CENTER 2101 8/5/2006				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Pa	per No. 08032006			

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: Examiner called the attorney of record, Farzad E. Amini (Reg:42,261), on August 02, 2006 regarding the abandonment for the application 10/750013, as no response appeared to have been received after sending of the first office action six month ago (January 04, 2006). Further discussion, over the telephone, between the examiner's SPE, Fritz Fleming, and the attorney's assistant, Margaux Rodriguez, clarified that in accordance to MPEP 711.03(c), the application would be abandoned by operation of law if USPTO does not receive a response after six month the previous office action was send, further more, it appears that there is no evidence regarding the reception of the response (i.e. postcard receipt from the USPTO). The applicant can petition regarding the abandonment in accordance to the MPEP 711.03(c).